COMMONHELPSOURCE SCHOLARLY PRACTICE PAPERS

Small steps, shared decisions: What my MSW training taught me about integrated behavioral health

Christian Castro

CHS-SPP-2026-001 | Version 1.0 | Scholarly reflection brief | August 3, 2026

Independently published; not peer reviewed

ABSTRACT

This essay argues that integrated behavioral health is most useful when it treats behavior as something shaped by emotion, culture, time, money, relationships, and health, rather than as a simple test of motivation. Using a fictional learning case from my MSW coursework, I connect person-centered diabetes care with motivational interviewing, small-step planning, and clear collaboration across the care team.

Keywords: integrated behavioral health, diabetes distress, motivational interviewing, cultural humility, social work

Stable URL: https://commonhelpsource.com/articles/small-steps-shared-decisions.html

Suggested citation

Castro, C. (2026, August 3). Small steps, shared decisions: What my MSW training taught me about integrated behavioral health. CommonHelpSource.

Origin in my MSW journey

> *This article was substantially reworked from a 2025 Integrated Behavioral Health assignment at Westfield State University. The learning case, "Maria," was fictional. No client record or identifiable client story was used. The revised essay adds 2026 guidance and separates personal reflection from clinical recommendations.*

In graduate school, I created a role-play about a woman newly diagnosed with type 2 diabetes. I gave her long workdays, family responsibilities, anxiety, and a household in which food carried affection as well as nutrition. The assignment asked me to plan a series of brief behavioral-health visits. What stayed with me was not the sequence of interventions. It was a more basic question: *Can a care plan be evidence-based if it does not fit the life in which it must be carried out?*

The current American Diabetes Association standards answer that question indirectly but clearly. Diabetes self-management support should be person-centered, culturally and socially appropriate, attentive to psychosocial concerns and social determinants, and built through shared decision-making. The standards specifically include motivational interviewing, goal setting, problem-solving, self-monitoring, and social support among useful behavioral strategies. This is a different posture from handing someone an ideal plan and measuring whether they comply.

> **The plan is not the intervention until the person can carry it into an ordinary Tuesday.**

Behavior has a setting

A meal choice can be shaped by fatigue, shift work, price, transportation, family expectations, medication effects, cooking access, fear, and the need for comfort. Calling it a "choice" is not wrong, but it can be incomplete. The CDC notes that food insecurity both raises type 2 diabetes risk and makes diabetes harder to manage. The ADA asks health teams to assess barriers and social determinants because information alone does not remove them.

This changed the way I understood my fictional case. Maria did not need another lecture about willpower. She needed a place to describe the hardest part of the day without being graded. A functional check-in covering meals, energy, sleep, stress, medication concerns, caregiving, money, and what happens just before eating could reveal a more useful starting point.

Small goals are not small-minded care

Graduate assignments tempt us to design complete treatment sequences. Practice taught me to value the smallest step that can teach us something. A three-minute transition after work, one prepared snack, a ten-minute walk when medically appropriate, or a backup meal for an exhausted night can become a behavioral experiment. The person and practitioner agree on the step, observe what happened, and revise without turning one difficult day into a verdict.

Motivational interviewing fits this process because it does not require the helper to win an argument. A 2025 systematic review and meta-analysis of 30 randomized trials found that transtheoretical-model-based

motivational interviewing improved measures of glycemic control among adults with type 2 diabetes. Evidence does not mean every technique works for every person. It does support a collaborative method that strengthens a person's own reasons, confidence, and problem-solving.

Culture should be explored, not stereotyped

My original paper described food as a form of love in many Latino families. That may resonate, but publication requires more precision. No culture has one relationship to food, caregiving, body size, or authority. Cultural humility means asking what a meal, recipe, refusal, or family role means in this particular household. It also means avoiding the idea that traditional foods must be abandoned. The CDC's guidance on diabetes and cultural foods shows how familiar foods can remain part of diabetes management.

A respectful question is more useful than an assumption: "Who is involved in meals, and what would make a change feel supportive rather than rejecting?" Sometimes a family member can become a collaborator. Sometimes the person wants a private boundary. Sometimes cost, not culture, is the central constraint.

Distress is clinical information

Diabetes management can produce shame, worry, discouragement, and a sense of constant evaluation. The 2026 ADA standards call for psychosocial screening and recommend referral when anxiety, depression, diabetes distress, disordered eating, or other concerns exceed what routine care can address. Brief grounding or cognitive reframing may help some people, but those tools should not conceal the need for assessment, medical review, or specialized behavioral-health care.

The language of the encounter matters. "What got in the way?" invites information. "Why didn't you follow the plan?" can invite defense. Strengths-based language is not cosmetic kindness; it affects whether the relationship remains usable enough for honest disclosure.

Integration requires a loop, not a referral

Behavioral care is not integrated merely because it occurs near primary care. With authorization and within the team's role, the behavioral-health worker should communicate concise, relevant information: the agreed goal, an important barrier, the person's confidence, a concern requiring medical follow-up, and the next review point. The primary-care team can then reinforce the same plan and respond to clinical questions.

That loop needs boundaries. A behavioral-health worker should not independently change medication, prescribe nutrition outside their competence, or interpret symptoms that require a licensed medical professional. Integration works when roles are clear and information travels with consent.

What I carried forward

My MSW assignment began as an exercise in choosing interventions. It became a lesson in designing care with someone instead of for an imagined ideal patient. A good plan can be modest and still rigorous: it identifies the person's goal, names the conditions around the behavior, chooses one reachable experiment, makes room for culture without presuming it, and connects behavioral information back to the health team.

Small steps are not a retreat from evidence. When they are collaborative, observable, and open to revision, they are one way evidence learns to live in a real person's day.

References and further reading

- American Diabetes Association Professional Practice Committee. (2026). Facilitating positive health behaviors and well-being.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Diabetes and food insecurity.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Diabetes and cultural foods.
- Effectiveness of transtheoretical-model-based motivational interviewing on glycemic control: systematic review and meta-analysis. (2025).
- Miller, W. R., & Rollnick, S. (2013). *Motivational interviewing: Helping people change* (3rd ed.). Guilford Press.

Educational content only. This essay does not provide individualized medical, nutrition, or mental-health advice.

Publication note

This independent practice brief was adapted from MSW coursework. It has not been peer reviewed or endorsed by a university or employer. No external funding supported it, and no financial conflict of interest is declared. AI-assisted editorial tools supported restructuring, source discovery, and copy editing; Christian Castro is responsible for the final claims, citations, and interpretation.

Version history: v1.0 (August 3, 2026), first scholarly practice brief edition.